

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,028	10/722,028 11/25/2003		Russel Helfman	100354-589-NP	6518	
24964	7590	04/13/2004		EXAMINER		
GOODWIN PROCTER L.L.P				GRILES, BETHANY L		
ROSELAND, NJ 07068				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3643			

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/722,028	HELFMAN, RUSSEL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
700	Bethany L. Griles	3643					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	25 November 2003.						
	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		rs, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-8</u> is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)  objected to b	y the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the column 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, ,,						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of t	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -·					

Art Unit: 3643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mack US 4800677.
- 3. Regarding claim 1, Mack discloses a top sheet 22, a backing sheet 20, one or more absorbent sheets 24 and a first frangible element 30 which extends parallel and partway along opposite sides of one dimension of the pad 10 and a second frangible element 30 located between the edges of one dimension which extends perpendicular from the first frangible element thereby forming ties (col 2, lines 30-34) integral with said pad 10 for tying the collection pad into a compact bundle for disposal.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,028

Art Unit: 3643

4. Regarding claim 3, Mack discloses that the pad further comprises an odor attractant 46 impregnated in the pad to attract the animal to the pad.

5. Regarding claim 7, Mack discloses an odor deterrent in the form of a pine scent (col 2, line 18), which is a known deodorant.

Page 3

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mack US4800677.
- 8. Regarding claim 5, Mack does not disclose that the odor attractant is a fresh cut grass scent.
- 9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a fresh cut grass scent as an odor attractant for the training pad, as Mack does disclose the use of other "outdoor" scents, such as pine. Since the applicant ascribes no specific criticality to the use of fresh cut grass odor, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute this scent for a myriad of other scents.
- 10. Regarding claim 6, Mack does not disclose that the backing sheet is green.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,028 Page 4

Art Unit: 3643

11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the backing material of any desirable color, and the choice would be purely aesthetic for the human trainer.

- 12. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mack in view of Spellman US 3626899.
- 13. Regarding claim 4, Mack does not disclose the use of allyl phenyl acetate.
- 14. Spellman discloses the use of allyl phenyl acetate as an odor attractant (Col 3, line 11-13.
- 15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Spellman to the invention of Mack, inasmuch as Spellman discloses allyl phenyl acetate serves to attract young dogs to a pad.
- 16. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mack in view of Ochi US 5797347.
- 17. Regarding claim 8, Mack does not disclose that the absorbent sheets consist of an absorbent polymer.
- 18. Ochi discloses a core of absorbent polymer (col 2, line 7) between the top and bottom layers.
- 19. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use absorptive polymer in the middle layers of the pad, of Mack, in view of the teaching of Ochi that polymer powders are excellent liquid absorbers.

Art Unit: 3643

### Allowable Subject Matter

20. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mack US 4934316; Licciardo US6173675; Walker US5819688; Santioemmo US6436384; Ohta US 5954015; Reynolds US6209486; Ikegami et al. US6460484; Adolfsson et al. US6532897; Ikegami et al. US6553938; Lippincott US6684816; Townsend US5220884; Gun-Munro US5676094.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bethany L. Griles whose telephone number is 703.305.1839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on 703.308.2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WH blg Robert P. Swiatek
ROBERT P. SWIATEK
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 325 3643

Bethany L. Griles Examiner Art Unit 3643